PRACTICE MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES FOR THE EVALUATION OF GENITOURINARY TRAUMA

The EAST Practice Management Guidelines Work Group

Michele Holevar, MD¹, J. Christopher DiGiacomo, MD², James Ebert, MD³,

Fred Luchette, MD⁴, Kim Nagy, MD⁵, Donna Nayduch RN⁶,

Rob Sheridan, MD⁷, J. Patrick Spirnak, MD⁸,

Charles Yowler, MD⁹

¹Chicago Medical School

²Nassau University Medical Center

³Elmhurst Memorial Hospital

⁴Loyola University Medical Center

⁵John H. Stroger, Jr. Hospital of Cook County

⁶North Colorado Medical Center

⁷Massachusetts General Hospital

⁸Case Western Reserve University

⁹Case Western Reserve University

Address for Correspondence and Reprints:

Michele Holevar, MD Chicago Medical School Mount Sinai Hospital 1500 South California Avenue F938 Chicago, IL 60612 Telephone: 773-257-6880

PRACTICE MANAGEMENT GUIDELINES FOR THE EVALUATION OF GENITOURINARY TRAUMA

I. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Injury to the genitourinary tract is a common occurrence after both blunt and penetrating trauma. Delayed recognition of these injuries may have the unique complication of urinary extravasation. To avoid the subsequent morbidity, a high index of suspicion must be maintained, and the appropriate radiographic evaluation performed. The indications, timing, and method of diagnostic imaging performed in patients with suspected urinary tract injury have been controversial. Additionally, improved imaging techniques have led to re-evaluation of methods of diagnosing potential urinary tract injury.

We initiated our review by converting the need for information about diagnosis of urinary tract trauma into several answerable questions:

- 1) What are the indications for preoperative imaging of the kidneys in blunt trauma? In penetrating trauma?
- 2) What renal imaging study should be used for blunt trauma? Penetrating trauma?
- 3) What are the indications for imaging of the bladder in blunt trauma? In penetrating trauma?
- 4) What imaging study should be used to visualize the bladder?
- 5) What are the indications for imaging of the urethra after blunt trauma? After penetrating trauma?
- 6) What are the indications for imaging of the renal vessels in blunt trauma? In penetrating trauma?
- 7) What imaging study should be used to visualize the renal vessels?

II. PROCESS

A. IDENTIFICATION OF REFERENCES

A computerized search was undertaken using Medline with citations published between the years of 1966 and 2001. Using the search words "genitourinary", "renal", "kidney", "urethra", "renovascular", "trauma", "wounds", and "injury", and by limiting the search to citations dealing with human subjects and published in the English language, we identified over 3,200 articles. From this initial search, case reports, review articles, editorials, letters to the editor, pediatric series, and meta-analyses were excluded prior to formal review. Additional references, selected by the individual subcommittee members, were then included to compile the master reference list of 123 citations.

Articles were distributed among the subcommittee members for formal review. A data sheet was completed for each article reviewed which summarized the purpose of the study, hypothesis, methods, main results, and conclusions. The

reviewers classified each reference by the methodology established by the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research (AHCPR) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

B. QUALITY OF THE REFERENCES

- Class I: Prospective randomized controlled trials, (0 references)
- **Class II:** Clinical studies in which the data was collected prospectively, and retrospective analyses which were based on clearly reliable data. Types of studies so classified include: observational studies, cohort studies, prevalence studies, and case control studies. (23 references)
- Class III: Studies based on retrospectively collected data. Evidence used in this class includes clinical series and database or registry review. (100 references)

An evidentiary table was constructed using the remaining 136 references. Recommendations were based on studies included in the evidentiary tables.

III. RECOMMENDATIONS

A. RENAL TRAUMA

1. Level I

There is insufficient Class I and Class II data to support any standards regarding evaluation of renal trauma.

2. Level II

- 1) Patients who require urologic imaging after blunt trauma include those with gross hematuria and those with microscopic hematuria in the face of hemodynamic instability. Microscopic hematuria can be reliably detected using urine dipstick, although different brands of dipstick may have different levels of sensitivity and specificity.
- 2) CT has a higher sensitivity and specificity in the evaluation of blunt renal trauma as compared to IVP and is the diagnostic modality of choice in imaging patients with suspected blunt renal trauma.
- 3) MRI equals CT in correctly grading blunt renal injuries and detecting the presence and size of perirenal hematomas. MRI differentiates intrarenal hematoma from perirenal hematoma more accurately and is able to determine recent bleeding in the hematoma by regional differences in signal intensity. Although MRI can replace CT in patients with iodine allergy and may be helpful in patients with equivocal findings on CT, it should be reserved for selected patients, due to increased cost and increased imaging time.

3. Level III

- 1) There is a correlation between degree of hematuria in blunt trauma and likelihood of significant intra-abdominal injury not related to the genitourinary system.
- 2) Negative ultrasound does not exclude renal injury.
- 3) There is no correlation between presence and amount of hematuria and extent of renal injury after penetrating trauma.
- 4) Limited one-shot IVP is of no significant value in assessing penetrating abdominal trauma patients prior to laparotomy, other than to determine the presence of a second kidney prior to nephrectomy.
- 5) CT should be the primary diagnostic study in penetrating trauma at risk for renal trauma. Renal hematoma area: total body area may be helpful in determining the grade of renal injury.
- 6) In penetrating renal trauma, after IVP or CT, renal angiogram is the second study of choice because it reliably stages significant injuries and offers the possibility of embolization.

B. URETERAL TRAUMA

1. Level I

There is insufficient Class I and Class II data to support any standards regarding evaluation of ureteral trauma.

2. Level II

There is insufficient Class II data to support any recommendations regarding evaluation of ureteral trauma.

3. Level III

- 1) Urinalysis, IVP, and operative exploration may miss ureteral injuries, requiring a high index of suspicion during celiotomy.
- 2) Delaying spiral CT for 5-8 minutes after contrast infusion may increase the sensitivity in detecting ureteral disruption from blunt trauma.

C. BLADDER TRAUMA

1. Level I

There is insufficient Class I and Class II data to support any standards regarding evaluation of bladder trauma.

2. Level II

- a. Routine Ct of the abdomen alone (without cystography) is inadequate to detect bladder rupture, even when the foley is clamped and bladder distended.
- b. CT cystography is as accurate as conventional cystography in the detecting bladder rupture and may be used interchangeably with conventional cystography.
- c. Gross hematuria, pelvic fluid, pelvic fractures (other than acetabular fractures) on CT should prompt conventional cystography or CT cystography. Drainage films and adequate distension of the bladder with contrast medium increases the sensitivity of cystography in the detection of bladder injuries.

2. Level III

There are no Level III recommendations for the evaluation of bladder trauma.

D. URETHRAL TRAUMA

1. Level I

There is insufficient Class I and Class II data to support any standards regarding evaluation of urethral trauma.

2. Level II

Urethral injury should be suspected when a pubic arch fracture exists and an urethrogram performed. The risk of urethral injury is increased when there is involvement of both the anterior and posterior pelvic arch.

3. Level III

- a. Although blood at the urethral meatus, gross hematuria, and displacement of the prostate are signs of disruption and should prompt urologic work-up, their absence does not exclude urethral injury. Successful passage of a foley does not exclude a small urethral perforation.
- b. Although the female urethra is relatively resistant to injury, it should be suspected in patients with either vaginal bleeding or external genitalia injury or with severe pelvic fractures and incontinence problems.

E. RENOVASCULAR TRAUMA

1. Level I

There is insufficient Class I and Class II data to support any standards regarding evaluation of renovascular trauma.

2. Level II

There is insufficient Class II data to support any recommendations regarding of renovascular trauma.

3. Level III

There is insufficient Class III data to support any recommendations regarding evaluation of renovascular trauma.

IV. SCIENTIFIC FOUNDATIONS

A. RENAL TRAUMA

The kidney is the most frequently injured urologic organ, with 70% to 80% being a consequence of blunt trauma. Although few urologic injuries are immediately life threatening, they do account for some of the more frequent complications of trauma. Renal injuries are diagnosed by combining clinical, laboratory, and radiographic modalities. Hematuria, defined as greater than five red blood cells per high-power field, is the single best primary indicator of renal injury and is present in 90% of renal injuries. Microscopic hematuria can be reliably detected using urine dipstick, although different brands of dipstick may have different levels of sensitivity and specificity 44, 48.

In the adult, patients with clinically significant renal injuries usually demonstrate either gross hematuria or microscopic hematuria with hemodynamic instability 20, 33, 36, 37, 38, 52 although the absence of hematuria does not exclude a vascular injury 110 . . . Hardeman et al prospectively studied 406 consecutive patients with suspected blunt renal trauma and found of the 365 patients with microscopic hematuria without hemodynamic ins7ability, only one patient had a renal injury sufficiently severe to warrant further study 51. Furthermore, 21 of 25 patients with documented renal injury in demonstrated gross hematuria. In a prospective study of 996 patients with suspected renal trauma, the 4.4% who sustained significant blunt renal injury had either gross hematuria (>50 red blood cels per high-power field) or microscopic hematuria accompanied by hemodynamic instability 91. Thus, the patients who require urologic imaging after blunt trauma include those with gross hematuria and those with microscopic hematuria in the face of hemodynamic instability.

Penetrating flank wounds have generally been explored in the past to determine the extent of injury, but more recently, the extension of nonoperative therapy has been extended to these injuries, as well. Although clinical examination can determine the need for exploration in up to 90% of patients, the remainder may have clinically significant but occult injuries. Unfortunately, there is no correlation between presence and amount of hematuria and extent of renal injury after penetrating trauma 30, 48, 24. In a retrospective review of 244 consecutive patients with renal proximity stab wounds, significant renal injury was found in 5 of 184 without hematuria, 24 of 46 with microscopic hematuria and all 14 patients with gross hematuria 30. Similarly, Federele et

al found that 13 of 41 patients explored for penetrating flank and back trauma had renal pedicle injuries in the absence of hematuria. In a retrospective series of 101 patients with penetrating renal injuries, Wilson et al found that 12% lacked hematuria, and 45% of those with renal pedicle injuries had a normal urinalysis 52.

Traditionally, a one-shot IVP has been performed in patients with penetrating trauma prior to laparotomy. IVP is unreliable with a high false-negative rate for patients with penetrating trauma. Urinary extravasion and nonfunction, generally considered to be relatively reliable indications of renal injury, are seen in less than 50% of patients with major or vascular injuries 115, 160. In a retrospective review of 40 patients with penetrating renal trauma, Patel et al found the IVP to have false-negative rate of 75% 76. Thus, limited one-shot IVP is of no significant value in assessing penetrating abdominal prior trauma patients to laparotomy 28, 56, 66, 73, 92. Whether IVP should be performed to confirm the presence of a contralateral functioning kidney has not been addressed. Delaying definitive therapy to obtain a preoperative IVP in an unstable pt is not warranted 28.

A number of imaging modes are available, including intravenous pyelography (IVP), computerized tomography (CT), ultrasonography (USG), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The choice of modality depends on both the sensitivity and specificity of the technique, as well as it's availability. Although IVP is the oldest and most widely available technique, CT appears to be more sensitive and specific in the diagnosis of renal trauma 36, 49, 54. In a prospective study of 60 patients with blunt renal trauma, Halsell found five injuries detected on CT in patients with negative IVPs, all of which were managed nonoperatively 49. In a prospective series of 22 patients, Cass et al compared IVP and CT findings. IVP was indeterminate in 82%, whereas CT provided determinate diagnoses in all the cases of severe renal injury 54.

CT provides precise anatomic and functional renal information, while also simultaneously detecting other coexisting intra-and extraperitoneal injuries. In a 15 year review of 55 patients with blunt renal trauma, Ichigi et al found the renal hematoma area to total body area ratio to be helpful in grading renal injuries, facilitating selection for intervention 27. Therefore, if CT is available, it should be the initial diagnostic modality of choice for the stable patient in the evaluation of suspected renal injury 48.

Despite the popularity of USG for the rapid diagnosis of intra-abdominal injury, it has not been found to be accurate in the evaluation of renal injury. In a prospective study of 32 patients with known renal injuries, 78% of those with isolated renal injuries had negative renal sonograms7. McGahan et al, whose retrospective series of 20 patients with isolated renal trauma demonstrated renal parenchymal abnormalities in 22% and free fluid in only 35%, supported these findings 26. Although sonography may be used in triage of patients with abdominal trauma and possible renal injury, a negative USG does not exclude renal injury and is not the definitive modality of choice.

Although MRI has not been widely used in renal trauma because of the expense, the length of the examination, and the comparative accuracy of other techniques, it may be

valuable in selected patients. MRI equals CT in correctly grading blunt renal injuries and detecting the presence and size of perirenal hematomas 81, 86. MRI differentiates intrarenal hematoma from perirenal hematoma more accurately than CT, and is able to determine recent bleeding in the hematoma by regional differences in signal intensity. MRI can replace CT in patients with iodine allergy and may be helpful in patients with equivocal findings on CT. It should be limited to selective patients, however, due to increased cost and imaging time 86.

The evolution of high-resolution CT has reduced the necessity for angiographic staging of renal injuries. CT will reliably detect renal artery injury 110, 111. Occlusion is demonstrated by lack of renal enhancement with a normal renal contour. Cortical rim enhancement takes a minimum of eight hours after injury to become apparent on CT scan 76. If CT identifies thrombosis of the renal artery and revascularization is indicated, surgical repair can be undertaken without angiography. In the stable patient with persistent bleeding, angiography may allow selective arterial embolization, obviating the need for operative exploration 120.

B. URETERAL TRAUMA

Ureteral injuries from external trauma constitute less than 1% of all urinary tract injuries. 95% result from gunshot wounds. The early diagnosis of a ureteral injury is primarily based on 30% of patients with ureteral injuries 121, 122, 123. Neither CT nor IVP acutely has been found to be reliable in the detection of ureteral injuries. In a retrospective review of five patients with ureteral injuries secondary to blunt trauma, 80% of injuries were missed on initial CT and detected only on delayed CT 24 hours later 15. These findings were confirmed by Brown et al in a similar study, who recommended delaying spiral CT for five to eight minutes after contrast infusion to increase the sensitivity in detecting ureteropelvic junction disruption from blunt trauma 12.

In a review of 118 patients with penetrating ureteral injury, Perez-Brayfield et al found a false-negative rate of IVP of 33%86. In Azimuddin's review of 20 patients with penetrating ureteral injury, only one of seven patients demonstrated injury on preoperative or intraoperative IVP 123. In another recent report on 12 ureteral injuries, the IVP missed the ureteral injury in all nine cases in which it was used 121. In this series, four of 20 injuries were missed at initial operation. These authors concluded that since ureteral injuries are infrequent and few surgeons have significant experience with their management, a high index of suspicion is required during celiotomy 17. When inspection is inconclusive, intraoperative recognition may be facilitated by the intravenous or intraureteral injection of indigo carmine or methylene blue.

C. BLADDER TRAUMA

Blunt trauma accounts for 60% to 80% of all bladder injuries. Although only 10% to 15% of patients with pelvic fractures sustain bladder injuries, greater than 70% of bladder injuries are associated with pelvic fractures. In a recent retrospective review of 53 patients with bladder rupture from blunt trauma, Morey et al found that 85% of patients

had pelvic fractures and all of the patients had gross hematuria 89. In a prospective study of 157 patients with hematuria suspected to have bladder injury, Morgan et al identified 12 patients with bladder rupture2. Pelvic fractures were present in 75%, but isolated acetabular fractures were not correlated with rupture. 67% of patients with bladder rupture had gross hematuria, and 100% demonstrated pelvic fluid on standard contrast-enhanced CT. Gross hematuria or pelvic fluid in patients with pelvic fractures other than acetabular fractures should prompt cystography 1, 2, 224, 94, 109. Existing data do not support diagnostic imaging for patients with either pelvic fractures or hematuria alone 22.

IVP or conventional CT of the abdomen may demonstrate extravasated contrast material from a ruptured bladder, but there are many false-negatives, and they are not a substitute for cystography. In a retrospective series of 54 patients with clinically suspected bladder rupture by Pao et al, contrast extravasation was identified in only four of the eight patients with bladder rupture in whom contrast had been excreted into the bladder at the time of CT. In two of the four patients without extravasation, the bladder was distended at the time of CT 3. In a similar study by Haas et al, conventional abdominal CT identified only nine of 15 bladder ruptures which were diagnosed with CT cystography 25. Therefore, routine IVP or CT of the abdomen (without cystography) is inadequate to detect bladder rupture even when the foley is clamped and the bladder distended 3, 25, 579, 82.

CT cystography is as accurate as conventional cystography in the detection of bladder rupture and may be used interchangeably with conventional cystography. In a prospective series, Peng et al screened 55 patients with hematuria and blunt abdominal trauma with CT cystograms, identifying five patients with bladder rupture1. The injuries in these five patients were confirmed intraoperatively. The 50 patients with negative CT cystograms underwent conventional cystography, and no other bladder rupture, CT cystography identified the rupture in 42 for an overall sensitivity and specificity of 95% and 100%, respectively. For intraperitoneal rupture, sensitivity was 78% and specificity was 99% 10. if CT scanning is being performed for abdominal evaluation, CT cystography may be performed; if not, conventional cystography is preferred.

D. URETHRAL TRAUMA

Urethral injuries are most often associated with pelvic fractures, especially anterior arch fractures with displacement 77. Lowe et al found that the combining injuries of the sacro-iliac joint with pubic rami injuries had a positive predictive value of 86% and a negative predictive value of 73% in identifying patients with urethral injury 40. In a prospective study of 203 male patients with pelvic fracture, Koraitim et al found the incidence of urethral injury was 24 times greater in patients with a straddle fracture when combined with diastasis of the sacro-iliac joint as compared to other pelvic fractures 77.

Although blood at the urethral meatus, gross hematuria, and displacement of the prostate are signs of urethral disruption, their absence does not exclude urethral injury. In a retrospective review of 405 patients with pelvic fracture, Lowe et al identified 21 patients with urethral injury. Of these 21, only 12 demonstrated blood at the urethral meatus,

high-riding prostate, or perineal hematoma 39. They determined that patients with urethral injuries examined less than one hour after injury may not show physical findings of urethral disruption. In addition, the successful passage of a foley does not exclude a small urethral perforation 72.

Female urethral injuries are rare but do occur and are frequently overlooked, leading to added patient morbidity 16, 18, 35. Peng et al published a retrospective review of 130 females with pelvic fractures; six (4.5%) of these patients were found to have an associated urethral injury. All six patients had vaginal bleeding associated with severe pelvic fractures and public diastasis. 50% had a delayed diagnosis. In a similar review of twelve women with pelvic fractures and urethral injuries, Venn et al found that mild injuries present as incontinence, requiring a high index of suspicion 16.

V. SUMMARY

The urinary tract may be damaged by a variety of blunt or penetrating trauma to the abdomen. Urinary system injuries occur in approximately 4% of trauma patients. Although some of the more frequent complications occurring after trauma involve the urinary tract, few GU injuries are immediately life threatening. The appropriate indications, timing, and methods of diagnostic imaging remain controversial, partially due to a paucity of Class I and II studies in the literature.

VI. FUTURE INVESTIGATIONS

There is a paucity of Class I data analyzing the various methods of evaluation of genitourinary tract trauma, as evidenced by the complete lack of Level I recommendations for the evaluation of these injuries. Future investigations should be carried out in a prospective, randomized manner with a sufficient number of patients to enable clinicians to draw valid, concrete conclusions as to the optimal methods of evaluating these patients. Given the relative infrequency of some of these injuries, especially renovascular trauma, this will likely require large-scale multi-institutional projects.

REFERENCES

- 1. Peng MY, Parisky YR, Cornwell EE 3rd, Radin R, Bragin S. CT cystography versus conventional cystography in evaluation of bladder injury. AJR. 1999; 173:1269-72.
- 2. Morgan DE, Nallamala LK,Kenney PJ, Mayo MS,Rue LW. CT cystography: radiographic and clinical predictors of bladder rupture. AJR. 174:89-95,
- 3. Pao DM, Ellis JH, Cohan RH, Korobkin M. Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture [see comments]. Acad Rad. 2000; 7:317-24.
- 4. Madiba TE, Haffejee AA. Causes and outcome of bladder injuries in Durban. East African Medical Journal. 1999; 76:676-9.
- 5. Haas CA, Spirnak JP. Traumatic renal artery occlusion: a review of the literature. Tech Urol. 1998; 4:1-11.
- 6. Ichigi Y, Takaki N, Nakamura K, Sato S, Kato A. Significance of hematoma size for evaluating the grade of blunt renal trauma. International J Urol. 1999; 6:502-8.
- 7. McGahan JP, Richards JF, Jones CD, Gersovich EO. Use of ultrasonography in the patient with acute renal trauma. J Ultrasound in Medicine. 1999; 18:207-15.
- 8. Porter JM, Singh Y. Value of computed tomography in the evaluation of retroperitoneal organ injury in blunt abdominal trauma. Am J Emer Med. 1998; 16(3):225-7.
- 9. Brandes SB, McAninch JW. Urban free falls and patterns of renal injury: a 20-year experience with 396 cases. J Trauma. 47(4):643-9.
- 10. Deck AJ, Shaves S, Talner L, Porter JR. Computerized tomography cystography for the diagnosis of traumatic bladder rupture. J Urol. 2000; 164:43-6.
- 11. Haas CA, Brown SL, Spirnak JP. Limitations of routine spiral computerized tomography in the evaluation of bladder trauma. J Urol. 1999; 162:51-2.
- 12. Brown SL, Hoffman DM, Spirnak JP. Limitations of routine spiral computerized tomography in the evaluation of blunt renal trauma. J Urol. 1998; 160:1979-81.
- 13. Mydlo JH, Hayyeri M, Macchia RJ. Urethrography and cavernosography imaging in a small series of penile fractures: a comparison with surgical findings. Urol. 1998; 51(4):616-9.
- 14. Schmidlin FR, Iselin CE, Naimi A, Rohner S, Borst F, Farshad M, Niederer P. The higher injury risk of abnormal kidneys in blunt renal trauma. Scandinavian J Urol & Neph. 1998; 32(6):388-92.
- 15. Mulligan JM, Cagiannos I, Collins JP, Millward SF. Ureteropelvic junction disruption secondary to blunt trauma: excretory phase imaging (delayed films) should help prevent a missed diagnosis. J Urol. 1998; 159(1):67-70.
- 16. Venn SN, Greenwell TJ, Mundy AR. Pelvic fracture injuries of the female urethra. BJU International. 1999; 83(6):626-30.
- 17. Azimuddin K, Milanesa D, Ivatury R, Porter J, Ehrenpreis M, Allman DB. Penetrating ureteric injuries. Injury. 1998; 29(5):363-7.

- 18. Goldman HB, Idom CB Jr, Dmochowski RR. Traumatic injuries of the female external genitalia and their association with urological injuries. J Urol 1998; 159(5):1650.
- 19. Sturm JT, Perry JF Jr. Injuries associated with fractures of the transverse processes of the thoracic and lumbar vertebrae. J Trauma.
- 20. Guice K, Oldham K, Eide B, Johansen K. Hematuria after blunt trauma: when is pyelography J Trauma. 1983; 23:305-11.
- 21. Deck AJ, Shaves S, Talner L, Porter JR. Computerized tomography cystography for the diagnosis of traumatic bladder rupture. J Urol. 2000; 164:43-6.
- 22. Pao DM, Ellis JH, Cohan RH, Korobkin M. Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture. Acad Rad. 2000; 7:317-24.
- 23. Morgan DE, Nallamala LK, Kenney PJ, Mayo MS, Rue LW. CT cystography: radiographic and clinical predictors of bladder rupture. AJR. 2000; 174:89-95.
- 24. Palmer LS, Rosenbaum RR, Gershbaum MD, Kreutzer ER. Penetrating ureteral trauma at an urban trauma center: 10-year experience. Urology. 1999; 54:34-6.
- 25. Haas CA, Brown SL, Spirnak JP. Limitations of routine spiral computerized tomography in the evaluation of bladder trauma. J Urol. 1999; 62:51-2.
- 26. McGahan JP, Richards JR, Jones CD, Gerscovich EO. Use of ultrasonography in the patient with acute renal trauma. J Ultrasound Med. 1999; 18:207-13.
- 27. Tang E, Berne TV. Intravenous pyelography in penetrating trauma. Am Surg. 1994; 60:384-6.
- 28. Stevenson J, Battistella FD. The 'one-shot' intravenous pyelogram: is it indicated in unstable trauma patients before celiotomy?. J Trauma. 1994; 36:828-33.
- 29. Eastham JA, Wilson TG, Ahlering TE. Urological evaluation and management of renalproximity stab wounds J Urol. 1993; 150:1771-3.
- 30. Boone TB, Gilling PJ, Husmann DA. Ureteropelvic junction disruption following blunt abdominal trauma. J Urol. 1993; 150:33-6.
- 31. Fuhrman GM, Simmons GT, Davidson BS, Buerk CA. The single indication for cystography in blunt trauma. Am Surg. 1993; 59:335-7.
- 32. Knudson MM, McAninch JW, Gomez R, Lee P, Stubbs HA. Hematuria as a predictor of abdominal injury after blunt trauma.Am J Surg. 1992; 164:482-5.
- 33. Eastham JA, Wilson TG, Ahlering TE. Radiographic evaluation of adult patients with blunt renal trauma. J Urol. 1992;148:266-7.
- 34. Heyns CF, van Vollenhoven P. Increasing role of angiography and segmental artery embolization in the management of renal stab wounds. J Urol. 1992;147:1231-4.
- 35. Perry MO, Husmann DA. Urethral injuries in female subjects following pelvic fractures. J Urol. 1992;147:139-43.
- 36. Herschorn S, Radomski SB, Shoskes DA, Mahoney J, Hirshberg E. Evaluation and treatment of blunt renal trauma. J Urol. 1991;146:274-6.

- 37. Klein S, Johs S, Fujitani R, State D. Hematuria following blunt abdominal trauma. The utility of intravenous pyelography. Arch Surg. 1988;123:1173-7.
- 38. Furtschegger A, Egender G, Jakse G. The value of sonography in the diagnosis and followup of patients with blunt renal trauma. Br J Urol. 1988;62:110-6.
- 39. Lowe MA, Mason JT, Luna GK, Maier RV, Copass MK. Risk factors for urethral injuries in men with traumatic pelvic fractures. J of Urol. 1988;140:506-7.
- 40. Lieu TA, Fleisher GR, Mahboubi S, Schwartz JS. Hematuria and clinical findings as indications for intravenous pyelography in pediatric blunt renal trauma. Pediatrics. 1988;82:216-22.
- 41. Henneman PL, Bar'Or D, Marx JA. Urinary lactic dehydrogenase as a marker of renal injury in blunt trauma patients with hematuria. Ann Emer Med. 1988;17:797-800.
- 42. Chandhoke PS, McAninch JW. Detection and significance of microscopic hematuria in patients with blunt renal trauma. J Urol. 1988;140:16-8.
- 43. Wong L, Waxman K, Smolin M, Rypins E, Murdock M. The role of IVP in blunt trauma. J Trauma. 1988;28:502-4.
- 44. Daum GS, Krolikowski FJ, Reuter KL, Colby JM, Silva. Dipstick evaluation of hematuria in abdominal trauma. Am J Clin Path. 1988;89:538-42.
- 45. Malangoni MA, Botner BK, Amin EA, Amin M. Blunt urethral injury: results of initial management. Am Surg. 1988;54:181-4.
- 46. Gosling P, Sutcliffe AJ, Cooper MA, Jones AF. Burn and trauma associated proteinuria: the role of lipid peroxidation, renin and myoglobin. Ann Clin Biochem. 1988;25:53-9.
- 47. Flancbaum L, Morgan AS, Fleisher M, Cox EF. Blunt bladder trauma: manifestation of severe injury. Urol. 1988;31:220-2.
- 48. Federle MP, Brown TR, McAninch JW. Penetrating renal trauma: CT evaluation. J Comp Assist Tomog. 1987;11:1026-30.
- 49. Halsell RD, Vines FS, Shatney CH, Slepin MJ. Northup The reliability of excretory urography as a screening examination for blunt renal trauma. Ann Emerg Med. 1987;16:1236-9.
- 50. Cass AS, Luxenberg M. Features of 164 bladder ruptures. J Urol. 1987;138:743-5.
- 51. Hardeman SW, Husmann DA, Chinn HK, Peters PC. Blunt urinary tract trauma: identifying those patients who require radiological diagnostic studies. J Urol. 1987; 138:99-101.
- 52. Wilson RF, Ziegler DW. Diagnostic and treatment problems in renal injuries. Am Surg. 1987;53:399-402.
- 53. Bergren CT, Chan FN, Bodzin JH. Intravenous pyelogram results in association with renal pathology and therapy in trauma patients. J Trauma. 1987;27:515-8.
- 54. Cass AS, Vieira J. Comparison of IVP and CT findings in patients with suspected severe renal injury. Urol. 1987;29(5):484-7.

- 55. Oakland CD, Britton JM, Charlton CA. Renal trauma and the intravenous urogram. J Royal Soc Med. 1987;80:21-2.
- 56. Peterson NE, Schulze KA. Selective diagnostic uroradiography for trauma. J Urol. 1987; 137:449-51.
- 57. Mee SL, McAninch JW, Federle MP. Computerized tomography in bladder rupture: diagnostic limitations. J Urol. 1987;137:207-9.
- 58. Braedel HU, Schindler E, Cullen P, Hoene E. Demonstration of residual contrast medium in renal parenchyma using computerized tomography. J Urol. 1987;137:11-4.
- 59. Cass AS, Luxenberg M, Gleich P, Smith CS. Clinical indications for radiographic evaluation of blunt renal trauma. J Urol. 1986; 136:370-1.
- 60. Bretan PN Jr, McAninch JW, Federle MP, Jeffrey RB Jr. Computerized tomographic staging of renal trauma: 85 consecutive cases. J Urol. 1986;136:561-5.
- 61. Gordon JA. Renal trauma in 125 cases of blunt abdominal trauma. Cent Afr J Med. 1986; 32:16-20.
- 62. Vieira J, Smith CS, Cass AS, Gleich P, L'Heureux P. Diagnosis of renal injury with computed tomography. Minn Med. 1986; 69:207-9.
- 63. Grizic AM, Marszalek WW. Pathogenesis and management of ureteric injuries. So Afr Med J. 1985;68:811-4.
- 64. Brosman SA, Fay R. Diagnosis and management of bladder trauma. J Trauma. 1973;13:687-94.
- 65. Iversen HG, Jessing P. Urinary tract lesions associated with fractures of the pelvis. Acta Chirurgica Scandinavica. 1973;139:201-7.
- 66. Tynberg PL, Hoch WH, Persky L, Zollinger RM Jr. The management of renal injuries coincident with penetrating wounds of the abdomen. J Trauma 1973;13:205-12.
- 67. Cass AS, Ireland GW. Bladder trauma associated with pelvic fractures in severely injured patients. J Trauma. 1973;13:502-8.
- 68. Cass AS, Ireland GW. Comparison of the conservative and surgical management of the more severe degrees of renal trauma in multiple injured patients. J Urol. 1973;109:8-10.
- 69. Villar RG del, Ireland GW, Cass AS. Management of bladder and urethral injury in conjunction with the immediate surgical treatment of the acute severe trauma patient. J Urol. 1972;108:581-5.
- 70. Smalley RH, Banowsky LH. Evaluation of renal trauma by infusion urography. J Urol. 1971;105:620-2.
- 71. Morrow JW, Mendez R. Renal trauma. J Urol. 1970;104:649-53.
- 72. Rohner TJ Jr. Blanchard TW. Management of urethral injuries in war casualties. Mil Med. 1970;135:748-51.
- 73. Patel VG, Walker ML. The role of "one-shot" intravenous pyelogram in evaluation of penetrating abdominal trauma. Am Surg. 1997;63:350-3.

- 74. Monga M, Moreno T, Hellstrom WJ. Gunshot wounds to the male genitalia. J Trauma. 1995;38:855-8.
- 75. Kawashima A, Sandler CM, Corriere JN Jr, Rodgers BM, Goldman SM. Ureteropelvic junction injuries secondary to blunt abdominal trauma. Radiology. 1997;205:487-92.
- 76. Kamel IR, Berkowitz JF. Assessment of the cortical rim sign in posttraumatic renal infarction. J Comp Assist Tomog. 1996;20:803-6.
- 77. Koraitim MM, Marzouk ME, Atta MA, Orabi SS. Risk factors and mechanism of urethral injury in pelvic fractures. Brit J Urol. 1996;77:876-80.
- 78. Udekwu PO, Gurkin B, Oller DW. The use of computed tomography in blunt abdominal injuries. Am Surg. 1996;62:56-9.
- 79. Moller CM, Mommsen S, Dyreborg U. The role of haematuria in the diagnosis of blunt renal trauma. Scand J Urol & Nephr. 1995;172:99-101.
- 80. Mokoena T, Naidu AG. Diagnostic difficulties in patients with a ruptured bladder. Br J Surg. 1995;82:69-70.
- 81. Leppaniemi A, Lamminen A, Tervahartiala P, Haapiainen R, Lehtonen T. Comparison of high-field magnetic resonance imaging with computed tomography in the evaluation of blunt renal trauma. J Trauma. 1995;38:420-7.
- 82. Ellis JH, Cohan RH, Korobkin M. Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture. Acad Radiol. 2000;7:317-24.
- 83. Pao DM, Ellis JH, Cohan RH, Korobkin M. Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture. Acad Radiol. 2000;7:317-24.
- 84. Sakaki S, Goto H, Takenega K, Fukushima H, Matuda H, Shimazaki S. The role of interventional radiology in the management of blunt renal injury: a practical protocol. J Trauma. 2001;51:526-31.
- 85. Santaniello JM, Miller PR, Lyden SP, Fabian TC. Blunt renal artery injury: incidence, diagnosis, and management. Am Surg. 2001;67:550-4.
- 86. Ku JH, Jeon YS, Kim ME, Lee NK, Park YH. Is there a role for magnetic resonance imaging in renal trauma? Int J Urol. 2001;8:261-7.
- 87. Perez-Brayfield MR, Keane TE, Krishnan A, Lafontaine P, Feliciano DV, Clarke HS. Gunshot wounds to the ureter: a 40-year experience at Grady Memorial Hospital. J Urol. 2001;166:119-21.
- 88. Deck AJ, Shaves S, Talner L, Porter JR. Computerized tomography cystography for the diagnosis of traumatic bladder rupture. J Urol. 2000;164:43-6.
- 89. Morey AF, Iverson AJ, Swan A, Harmon WJ, Spore SS, Bhayani S, Bladder rupture after blunt trauma: guidelines for diagnostic imaging. J Trauma. 2001;51:683-6.
- 90. Digiacomo JC, Frankel H, Rotondo MF, Schwab CW, Shaftan GW. Preoperative radiographic staging for ureteral injuries is not warranted in patients undergoing celiotomy for trauma. Am Surg. 2001;67:969-73.

- 91. Mee SL, McAninch JW, Robinson AL, Auerbach PS, Carroll PR. Radiographic assessment of renal trauma: a 10-year prospective study of patient selection. J Urol. 1989;141:1095-8.
- 92. Nicolaisen GS, McAninch JW, Marshall GA, Bluth RF Jr, Carroll PR. Renal trauma: reevaluation of the indications for radiographic assessment. J Urol. 1985;133:183-7.
- 93. Hardeman SW, Husmann DA, Chinn HK, Peters PC. Blunt urinary tract trauma: identifying those patients who require radiological diagnostic studies. J Urol. 1987; 138:99-101.
- 94. Fallon B, Wendt JC, Hawtrey CE. Urological injury and assessment in patients with fractured pelvis. J Urol. 1984;131:712-4.
- 95. Bright TC, White K, Peters PC. Significance of hematuria after trauma. J Urol. 1978; 120:455-6.
- 96. Federle MP, Kaiser JA, McAninch JW, Jeffrey RB, Mall JC. The role of computed tomography in renal trauma. Radiology. 1981;141:455-60.
- 97. McAninch JW, Federle MP. Evaluation of renal injuries with computerized tomography. J Urol. 1982;128:456-460.
- 98. Federle MP, Brown TR, McAninch JW. Penetrating renal trauma: CT evaluation. J Comput Assist Tomo. 1987;11:1026-1030.
- 99. Lang EK, Sullivan J, Frentz G. Renal trauma: radiological studies. Comparison of urography, computed tomography, angiography, and radionuclide studies. Radiology 1985;154:1-6.
- 100. Sandler CM, Hall JT, Rodriguez MB, Corriere JN Jr. Bladder injury in blunt pelvic trauma. Radiology 1986;158:633-638.
- 101. Carroll PR, McAninch JW. Major bladder trauma: the accuracy of cystography. J Urol 1983; 130:887-888.
- 102. Carroll PR, McAninch JW. Major bladder trauma: mechanisms of injury and a unified method of diagnosis and repair. J Urol. 1984;132:254-257.
- 103. Kisa E, Schenk WG 3rd. Indications for emergency intravenous pyelography (IVP) in blunt abdominal trauma: a reappraisal. J Trauma. 1986;26:1086-1089.
- 104. Thomason RB, Julian JS, Mostellar HC, Pennell TC, Meredith JW. Microscopic hematuria after blunt trauma. Is pyelography necessary? Am Surg. 1989;55:145-150.
- 105. Erturk E, Sheinfeld J, DiMarco PL, Cockett AT. Renal trauma: evaluation by computerized tomography. J Urol 1985;133:946-949.
- 106. Sturm JT, Perry JF Jr, Cass AS. Renal artery and vein injury following blunt trauma. Ann Surg 1975;182: 606-698.
- 107. Herschorn S, Radomski SB, Shokes DA, Mahoney J, Hirshberg E, Klotz L. Evaluation and treatment of blunt renal trauma. J Urol. 1991;146:274-
- 108. Weems WL. Management of genitourinary injuries in patients with pelvic fractures. Ann Surg. 1979;189:717-23.
- 109. Sandler CM, Toombs BD. Computed tomographic evaluation of blunt renal injuries. Radiology. 1981;141:461-6.

- 110. Carroll PR, McAninch JW, Klosterman P, Greenblatt M. Renovascular trauma: risk assessment, surgical management, and outcome. J Trauma. 1990;30:547-54.
- 111. Lang EK. Arteriography in the assessment of renal trauma. The impact of arteriographic diagnosis on preservation of renal function and parenchyma. J Trauma. 1975;15:553-66.
- 112. Cass AS, Bubrick M, Luxenberg M, Gleich P, Smith C. Renal pedicle injury in patients with multiple injuries. J Trauma. 1985;25:892-6.
- 113. Meacham PW, Brock JW 3rd, Kirchner FK, Adkins RB Jr. Renal vascular injuries. Am Surg. 1986;52:30-6.
- 114. Haas CA, Dinchman KH, Nasrallah PF, Spirnak JP. Traumatic renal artery occlusion: a 15year review. J Trauma. 1998;45:557-61.
- 115. Cass AS, Luxenberg M. Accuracy of computed tomography in diagnosing renal artery injuries. Urology. 1989;34:249-51.
- 116. Nicolaisen GS, McAninch JW, Marshall GA, Bluth RF Jr, Carroll PR. Renal trauma: reevaluation of the indications for radiographic assessment. J Urol. 1985;133:183-7.
- 117. Carroll PR, McAninch JW. Renal trauma: re-evaluation of the indications for radiographic assessment. J Urol. 1985;133:183-7.
- 118. Sclafani SJ, Goldstein AS, Panetta T, Phillips TF, Golueke P, Gordon DH, Glanz S. CT diagnosis of renal pedicle injury. Urol Radiol. 1985;7:63-8.
- 119. Steinberg DL, Jeffrey RB, Federle MP, McAninch JW. The computerized tomography appearance of renal pedicle injury. J Urol. 1984;132:1163-4.
- 120. Uflacker R, Paolini RM, Lima S. Management of traumatic hematuria by selective renal artery embolization. J Urol. 1984;132:662-7.
- 121. Presti JC Jr, Carroll PR, McAninch JW. Ureteral and renal pelvic injuries from external trauma: diagnosis and management. J Trauma. 1989;29:370-4.
- 122. Brandes SB, Chelsky MJ, Buckman RF, Hanno PM. Ureteral injuries from penetrating trauma. J Trauma. 1994;36:766-9.
- 123. Azimuddin K, Milanesa D, Ivatury R, Porter J, Ehrenpreis M, Allman DB. Penetrating ureteric injuries. Injury. 1998;29:363-7.

ហ	4	ω	2	<u>ــ</u>	Ref
Traumatic renal artery occlusion: a review of the literature. Tech Urol 1998;4:1- 11. 11.	Causes and outcome of bladder injuries in Durban. E African Med J 1999;76:676-9.	Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture. Acad Radiol. 2000;7:317-24.	CT cystography: radiographic and clinical predictors of bladder rupture. Am J Roent. 2000;174:89-95.	CT cystography versus conventional cystography in evaluation of bladder injury. Am J Roent. 1999;173:1269-72	Title
Haas	Madiba	Pao	Morgan	Peng	First Author
≡	III	=	=	=	Data Class
retrospective review	retrospective review	retrospective review	prospective series	prospective series of 55 patients with hematuria after blunt abdominal trauma who underwent CT cystography; negative CT cystography; negative CT cystograms were followed by conventional cystograms	Methods
Of bilateral RA occasional, 56% salvaged; of unilateral RA occasional, 26% successful (of these 67% had reduced renal function and 12% developed HTN at mean 3.1 years; 32% who did not have attempt at revascularization had HTN by mean of 97 days	Extraperitoneal injuries do well with drainage only; isolated bladder injuries carry a low mortality	Conventional CT missed over 50% of 8 bladder ruptures in which contrast was excreted into the bladder at the time of CT, all patients with bladder rupture had pelvic fluid	Gross hematuria, pelvic fluid, pelvic fractures were predictors of bladder rupture	5 bladder ruptures were identified in the 55 patients screened. CT cystography did not miss any bladder injuries	Main Results
Revascularization in unilateral RA occlusion is only very rarely successful; patients should be followed long term for hypertension.	Routine CT is not adequate to detect bladder rupture and CT or conventional cystography should be done if it is suspected, pelvic fluid suggests the diagnosis.	Routine CT is not adequate to detect bladder rupture and CT or conventional cystography should be done if it is suspected, pelvic fluid suggests the diagnosis.	Gross hematuria, pelvic fluid, pelvic fractures on CT should prompt cystography	CT cystography is as accurate as conventional cytography in trauma	Conclusions

٥	ω	7	თ
Urban free falls and patterns of renal injury: a 20-year experience with 396 cases. J Trauma 1999;47:643-9.	Value of computed tomography in the evaluation of retroperitoneal organ injury in blunt abdominal trauma. Am J Emer Med 1998;16:225-7.	Use of ultrasonography in the patient with acute renal trauma. J Ultrasound Med 1999;18:207-15.	Significance of hematoma size for evaluating the grade of blunt renal trauma. Intern J Urol 1999;6:502-8.
Brandes	Porter	McGahan	lchigi
≡	≡	=	Ξ
retrospective review	retrospective review	prospective study	Retrospective15 year review of 55 pts with blunt renal trauma. Group I no intervention, Group II transcatheter embolization, Group III surgery. Comparison of hematoma area (H) to body area (B) in same CT plane
423 patients with renal injuries sustained in falls were reviewed; degree of hematuria did not predict extent of renal injury; 29% of grade 2 to 4 injuries would have been missed if patients without hematuria had not been imaged	466 stable patients who underwent CT had films and operative findings and clinical course correlated; Ct was accurate for renal injury, but missed some duodenal injuries	37 renal injuries in 32 patients were evaluated by US; isolated injuries were frequently associated with normal renal sonograms (78%) and absent free fluid	Group I 20 pts, H/B ration 0.123, Group II and III 13 pts, H/B 0.2. The ratio of hematoma to body area (amount of bleeding) correlated with prior decisions to observe, embolize, or operate.
The absence of hematuria or flank hematoma should not exclude patients sustaining significant falls from renal imaging	CT is accurate in the evaluation of renal trauma	US is not accurate in the evaluation of renal injury	Patients with H/B ratio >0.15 should be managed as high-grade injury requiring intervention.

Some renal collecting system injuries may not be apparent on the initial CT; the authors recommend routine delayed scans, especially if symptoms persist in the face of known renal parenchymal injury.	35 patients with blunt renal injury had spiral CT with IV contrast; 3 or 35 (8.6%) of the injuries would have been missed if delayed scans (days later) had not been performed for other reasons (such as flank pain)	Retrospective review	≡	Brown	Limitations of routine spiral computerized tomography in the evaluation of blunt renal trauma. J Urol 1998;160:1979- 81.	12
Spiral CT (with IV contrast and bladder clamping) will miss many clinically important bladder injuries; retrograde cystograms should be done if bladder injury is suspected.	24 patients with bladder injury who had both spiral CT (with IV contrast and bladder clamping) and retrograde cystography were reviewed; CT diagnosed only 60% of bladder ruptures.	Retrospective review	≡	Haas	Limitations of routine spiral computerized tomography in the evaluation of bladder trauma. J Urol 1999;162:51-2.	11
CT cystography is accurate and useful	316 patients underwent CT cystography as part of the evaluation for trauma; CT and operative findings and clinical course were compared; sensitivity and specificity of CT cystography were 95% and 100% respectively. Of the 44 patients with the ultimate diagnosis of bladder rupture CT cystography revealed bladder rupture in 42. In the 316 patients CT cystography detected bladder rupture with an overall sensitivity and specificity of 95% and 100%, respectively. For intraperitoneal rupture sensitivity was 78% and specificity was 99%.	Retrospective review	Ξ	Deck	Computerized tomography cystography for the diagnosis of traumatic bladder rupture. J Urology 2000;164:43-6. 2000;164:43-6.	10

23	22	21	20	19	18
CT cystography: radiographic and clinical predictors of bladder rupture. Am J Roent. 2000;174:89-95.	Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture. Acad Rad 2000;7:317- 24.	Computerized tomography cystography for the diagnosis of traumatic bladder rupture. J Urol 2000;164:43-6.	Hematuria after blunt trauma: when is pyelography useful? J Trauma 1983;23:305-11.	Injuries Associated with Fractures of the Transverse Processes of the Thoracic and Lumbar Vertebrae. J Trauma 1984;24:597-9.	Traumatic Injuries of the Female external genitalia and their association with urological injuries. J Urol 1998;159:956-9.
Morgan DM	Pao DM	Deck AJ	Guice K	Sturm	Goldman
=	≡	≡	≡		≡
18 month prospective study of 154 trauma pts with hematuria referred for abdominal CT	Retrospective review of 54 abdominal and pelvic CT in whom bladder rupture was clinically suspected	Retrospective review of 316 blunt trauma pts undergoing CT cystography	Retrospective review of 156 IVP's over 1 year period	Retrospective chart review of 92 patients with transverse process fractures of the thoracic or lumbar vertebrae. lumbar vertebrae.	Retrospective chart review of 20 female with trauma to the external genitalia not due to parturition.
12/157 with bladder rupture, 9/12 with pelvic fx, 8/12 gross hematuria, 12/12 with pelvic fluid on trauma CT	Cystograms depicted bladder rupture in 10 patients. All had extravesical fluid, but so did 32/44 pts without bladder rupture	42/44 bladder ruptures diagnosed by CT cystography. Overall sensitivity 95%, specificity 100%	13/56 IVPs were abnormal. 5/13 required interventions, all of whom had gross or 4+ microscopic hematuria.	11% of patients with transverse process fractures had an associated urologic injury. 55% of patients with a fracture had hematuria which was gross in 24%: 42% of patients with gross hematuria had a urologic injury. 13% of patients with microscopic hematuria had a urologic injury. No patient without hematuria had a urologic injury.	11 patients had direct external trauma not related to intercourse. 6 of these patients had an associated urologic injury, primarily to the bladder or urethra.
Gross hematuria, pelvic fluid, pelvic fx other than acetabular fx are associated with bladder rupture.	Routine abdominal/pelvis trauma CT is unreliable in the diagnosis of bladder rupture.	CT cystography is recommended over plain film cystography for diagnosis of blunt bladder rupture.	IVP is indicated in blunt trauma patients with gross hematuria or 4+ microscopic hematuria.	The presence of hematuria in a patient with a transverse process fracture should alert the physician to rule out a urologic injury.	Whenever trauma to the female external genitalia is noted, it is crucial to rule out an associated injury to the bladder or urethra.

24	Penetrating ureteral trauma at an urban	Palmer LS	II	Retrospective 10 year review of 20 pts with	8/12 pts with isolated ureter injury had hematuria. 1/4	Uninalysis and preoperative IVP may miss ureteral injury requiring a high index of
	trauma center: 10 year experience. Urol 1999;54:34-6.			penetrating ureteral trauma	IVP's abnormal. 15/20 injuries noted intraoperatively, 4/20 missed at initial operation	suspicion during operative exploration.
25	Limitations of routine spiral computerized	Haas CA		Retrospective review of 15 pts undergoing both	15/15 diagnosed by retrograde cystography; 9/15	Spiral CT without CT cystography is not accurate in diagnosis of bladder rupture
	tomography in the evaluation of bladder			retrograde cystography and spiral CT of	identified on spiral CT	
	trauma.			abdomen/pelvis		
	J Urol 1999;162:51-2.					
26	Use of	McGahan JP	Ξ	retrospective 3 year review	eliminated pts with	A negative ultrasound exam does not exclude
	ultrasonography in the			of 32 pts with 37 renal	concomitant intra-abdominal	renal injury.
	patient with acute			injuries who had	injury. 7/20 pts with renal	
	J Ultrasound Med			admission	barenchymal injuries noted in	
	1999;18:207-13.				US; 11/32 had normal ultrasound exams	
27	Intravenous	Tang E		7 month review of 67 IVP's	0/34 (19 stabs, 15 GSW)	IVP is not required in penetrating trauma
	penetrating trauma.			in patients with pertenanting injury	demonstrated renal injury	
	Am Surg 1994;60:384- 6.				requiring intervention.	
28	The "One-Shot"	Stevenson	Ξ	retrospective review of 239	Allergic reactions occurred in	Delaying definitive therapy to obtain a
	Pyelogram. Is It			ivp's in patients for whom	required renal exploration. No	preoperative IVP in an unstable pt is not warranted.
	Indicated in Unstable Trauma Patients			evaluation in the radiology suite was felt to be unsafe.	identified. The IVP was	
	Before Celiotomy.				abnormal in 53 pts (22%) - 5	
	J Trauma				bilateral non-visualization and	
	1994;36:828-34.				15 unilateral non- visualization. 87% of pts with	
					normal IVP findings had renal	
					injuries not detected by "one-	
					shot" IVP and normal and	
					26% of pts with abnormal	
					renal injury.	

29 Urological evaluation	Eastham	Ξ	Retrospective review of	Renal injury was found in	The absence of hemturia does not preclude
renal proximity stab			renal proximity stab	24/46 with microscopic	study. Renal angiogram is the second study o
wounds.			wounds. Stable pts with	hematuria and all 14 with	choice because it reliably stages significant
J Urol 1993;150:1771-			hematuria &/or suspected	gross hematuria .IVP was	injuries and offers the possibility of
ÿ			injury due to proximity of	96% accurate in establishing	iembolization. Most renal stab wounds when
			entrance wound to the	the presence or lack of injury.	accurately staged can be managed
			kidney were assessed with		nonoperatively.
			an IVP; 34 were		
			subsequently evaluated		
			with CT and/or		
			angiography		
30 Ureteropelvic junction	Boone	Ξ	Retrospective review of 8	Delay in diagnosis in 4	The majority (45/47) of pts with ureteropelvic
disruption following			cases (7 pts) as well as an	patients was greater than 36	junction disruption present with a substantial
blunt abdominal			extensive literature review	hrs. 3/4 patients with missed	history of rapid deceleration injury and the
trauma.				injuries lacked hematuria and	presence of at least 1 of 4 associated findings
J UIUI 1993, 130.33-0.				unresponsive to fluid	hematuria, direct flank tenderness/ecchymosi
				resuscitation. All patients	or multisystem failure. However, a negative
				were emergently explored	exploratory laparotomy without direct
				to hemodynamic instability.	radiographic evaluations for retroperitoneal
				Retroperitoneal findings at	injuries.
				operation failed to reveal	
				evidence of a perinephric	
				nellational and	
				therefore, they were not	
				directly examined. Despite	
				these negative retroperitoneal	
				findings the patients	
				sustained disruption of the	
				-	

34	33	32	31
Increasing Role of Angiography and Segmental Artery Embolization in the Management of Renal Stab Wounds. J Urol 1992;147:1231- 4.	Radiographic Assessment of Adult patients with Blunt Renal Trauma. J Urol 1992;148:266-7.	Hematuria as a Predictor of Abdominal Injury after blunt trauma. Am J Surg 1992;164:482-5.	The single indication for cystography in blunt trauma. Am Surg 1993;59:335- 7. 7.
Heyns	Eastham	Knudson	Fuhrman
≡	≡	≡	≣
Retrospective review of 93 pts. 79 were initially evaluated and treated at the authors' hospital (Group I) and 14 were referred with complications (Group II). In Group I, 26 pts (33%) were selected for surgery on the basis of signs of severe blood loss or associated intra- abdominal injury, or major	Retrospective review 337 pts with blunt trauma microscopic hematuria) and no shock	Retrospective review of 160 pts with blunt trauma and extrarenal injury	Retrospective review. First, a 15-month retrospective evaluation revealed 26 patients with bladder trauma. All 26 patients presented with gross hematuria. This was followed by a randomized prospective study of all patients were randomized to be evaluated with cystography for any degree of hematuria or the diagnosis of pelvic fracture versus those to be evaluated only for the presence of gross hematuria.
At operation a major renal injury and/or associated intra- abdominal laceration was found in 23 patients (88%) and nephrectomy was required in 7 (27%) of them. Nonoperative management was selected in 53 patients (67%) in group 1 and secondary hemorrhage occurred in 8 (15%). Of the patients in group 2, 4 had undergone an operation elsewhere and 10 had	30/337 (9%) had abnormal IVP =greater 28 contusions UPJ disruption 1-absent kid…only 1 significant injury would have been missed (0.37%)	Incidence of abdominal injury in patients with microscopic hematuria and shock=29% vs patients with gross hematuria and shock=>65%.	Eleven patients had pelvic fractures and no hematuria. One hundred nine patients had microscopic hematuria and a 39 per cent incidence of coexistent pelvic fractures. Thirty-one patients had gross hematuria and a 26 per cent incidence of pelvic fracture. Bladder injuries were seen only in this latter group.
Angiography and selective arterial embolization can be effectively used to treat vascular complications in pts being managed non- operatively.	GU evaluation is indicated in pts with suspected intra-abdominal injury, those in major deceleration accidents and those with shock, gross hematuria or both. Additional staging not indicated in patients with microhematuria without shock.	All pts with gross hematuria alone and all with microhematuria with shock should be evaluated for both renal and non renal abdominal injuries.	Cystographic evaluation in blunt trauma should only be done in the presence of gross hematuria.

36	35	
Evaluation and Treatment of blunt renal trauma. J Urol 1991;146:274-7.	Urethral injuries in female subjects following pelvic fractures. J Urol 1992;147:139- 43.	
Herschorn	Perry	
≡	≡	
Retrospective review of 126 pts with blunt renal trauma	Retrospective review of 130 female pts with pelvic fracture 6/30 (4.5%) had associated urethral injury	abnormality on the excretory urogram.
All patients who had microscopic hematuria without shock had minor injuries. Excretory urograms (IVPs) were normal in 74% and 39% of the patients when performed for minor and moderate renal injuries, respectively. Computerized tomography (CT) was abnormal in all cases when performed, and was more sensitive and specific than an IVP.	3/6 had delayed diagnosis. All 6 had vaginal bleeding associated with severe pelvic fractures and pubic diastases	been managed nonoperatively. Renal arteriography was performed in 14 patients who had been managed nonoperatively (6 from group 1 and 8 from group 2) and demonstrated a traumatic pseudoaneurysm in 6, an arteriovenous fistula in 5 and no large vessel injury in 3. Selective embolization of the involved segmental artery was successful in 9 of 11 patients (82%) when angiography showed a vascular lesion.
Radiologic evaluation is not needed in patients with microscopic hematuria and no shock. If XRays are indicated, CT is imaging study of choice. choice.	Urethral injury is rare in female trauma pts but should be considered in pts with pelvic fractures, pubic diastasis and vaginal bleeding. Urethrography will be diagnostic.	

44	43	42	41	40
Dipstick Evaluation of Hematuria in Abdominal Trauma. Am J Clin Path 1988;89:538-42. 1988;89:538-42.	The Role of IVP in Blunt Trauma. J Trauma 1988;28:502-4.	Detection and significance of microscopic hematuria in patients with blunt renal trauma. J Urol 1988;140:16-8.	Urinary Lactic Dehydrogenase as a Marker of Renal Injury in Blunt Trauma Patients with Hematuria. Ann Emer Med 1988;17:797-800.	Hematuria and Clinical Findings as Indications for Intravenous Pyelography in Pediatric Blunt Renal Trauma. Pediatrics 1988;82:216-22.
Daum GS	Wong L	Chandhoke	Henneman	Lieu
=	I	=	=	Ξ
178 pts Prospective consecutive pts; Random urine sample with dipstick evaluation evaluation	139 IVP pts Retrospective 21 clinical parameters applied	339 pts Prospective consecutive pts; Patients were initially evaluated with urine dipstick, followed by urinalysis and subsequent imaging.	Retrospective review of 36 blunt trauma patients with hematuria . All had IVP and urine and serum LDH levels	Retrospective review of 78 consecutive patients who had an IVP to evaluate blunt trauma
>5-10 RBC/hpf indicates need for IVP. Sensitivity for dipstick for microscopic hematuria was 100%, specificity 58.6%; increases to 90% if proteinuria is added. There is a poor correlation of positive dipstick with degree of microscopic hematuria.	No combination of 21 clinical parameters were found to predict IVP abnormalities	>80% of 50-100 RBC/hpf correspond to 3+ dipstick; microscopic hematuria was detected reliably, high sensitivity and specificity by dipstick		52/78 (67%) had a normal IVP. 13/78 (17%) had an abnormal IVP. 8/13 of these patients had renal contusion(66%). There were no fractures or pedicle injuries. 4/13 had lacerations with extravasation. 1/13 had bladder rupture identified on IVP. The IVP results did not lead to surgery in any patient.
Negative urine dipstick does not require further testing. There is a poor correlation of positive urine dipstick with the degree of microscopic hematuria. The dipstick brand may make a difference.	Clinical parameters which determine need for operation 90% of the time include blood at urinary meatus, degree of hematuria, age, ISS, number of ribs fractured.	Hematuria can be detected reliably with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity by urine dipstick. The brand of dipstick may make a difference.	LDH is a nonspecific marker of cellular disruption anywhere along the GU tract and is not useful as a screening test for renal injury	IVP is indicated in patients with red blood cells too numerous to count. If it is important to identify renal contusions, IVP is indicated if greater than 20 rbc's/hpf . IVP or CT should be performed in patients with lesser hematuria and extremity fractures.

;			:			
00	uroradiography for		Ξ	157 penetrating; 225 blunt	most often localized;	with associated injuries - lower rib fractures or
	trauma.			pts Workup consisted of	Rarely simultaneous tract	¹ lumbar transverse process fracture (upper renal
	J Urol 1987;137:449-			IVP, retrograde cysto-	injury and usually indicates	ⁱ injury) and pelvic fracture, urethral bleeding,
	51.			urethrography; occasional	severe injury with high	prostate displacement, rectal injury (lower GU
				arteriography and CT.	mortality from assoc injuries	¹ injury). Use IVP or CT for upper; retrograde
						urethrography or cystography o for lower to
						save money and time. Exceptions are massive
						¹ injury; angle of entry for penetrating. There is
						no need for comprehensive study if the clinical
						¹ picture is limited to upper or lower GU and the
						initial study is compatible with the clinical
						impression.
22	Computerized	Mee SL	I	Two patients with blunt	CT scan was negative or	Routine abdominopelvic CT is not a reliable
	tomography in bladder			trauma and gross	equivocal for evidence of	method of evaluating bladder rupture.
	rupture: diagnostic			hematuria or with blunt	bladder rupture in the first two	Retrograde cystography remains the most
	limitations.			trauma, pelvic fracture,	patients studied.	accurate diagnostic technique.
	J Urol 1987;137:207-9.			and microscopic		
				hematuria, CT was		
				performed first followed by		
				retrograde cystography.		

64 Diagr Mana J Tra 1973	Urete So Al 1985
nosis and Igement of Jer Trauma. uma ;13:687-94.	igement of ric Injuries. fr Med J (68:811-4.
Brosman SA	
≡	
90 patients with traumatic bladder injuries were classified according to type of injury: contusion, extraperitoneal rupture, intraperitoneal rupture, and combined injuries.	years and prospective for 2 years. Histories were reviewed with regard to etiology, mode of presentation, management of the injury, and result as determined by the clinic F/U notes. 68 patients with 72 ureteric injuries were included. 53 of these injuries were due to operative trauma and 19 were secondary to external violence. Of the external trauma cases, 7 were blunt and 12 penetrating (9 knife and 3 gunshot)
78 of these patients had sustained blunt injury, and 12 had penetrating injuries. The diagnosis was made by cystography (indications not stated). In patients with blunt trauma, contusions were found in 45%, extraperitoneal lacerations in 28%, intraperitoneal lacerations in 13%, and combined injuries in 14%	two main types occurring most frequently during intra- abdominal and pelvic surgery while a minority are caused by external trauma. The first type is typically accidental. Excretory urography is the single most valuable diagnostic tool and is accurate in over 90% of cases. In cases of suspected ureteric injury due to external trauma, urography is essential before exploratory laparotomy. US, renal isotope studies and CT can be employed to aid the diagnosis. No further comments are made in the decision process of using the latter.
Early diagnosis and therapy are necessary to reduce the morbidity and mortality due to traumatic bladder injury. Every patient with pelvic fracture should be suspected of having a bladder injury and should be studied. bladder injury and should be studied.	damage and many of these are caused by personnel unfamiliar with the surgery they are performing. The diagnosis is difficult and a high index of suspicion is essential when operating in the vicinity of the ureter and in all cases of blunt or penetrating abdominal trauma.

	Surgical treatment had less morbidity but loss of the kidney was 3 times more frequent.				J Urol 1973;109:8-10.	
mandatory.	uscilarged with dilinateral non-function. Hospital stay was 45 percent longer				trauma in multiple	
penetrating wounds and extraperitoneal rupture,	an additional 25% were				of the more severe	
bladder injury. False negatives do occur with	managed non-operatively and				surgical management	
A cystogram with a post-washout film performed in the severely injured patient diagnosed	Delayed operation was needed in 37.5% of survivors	Retrospective review	=	Cass AS	Comparison of the conservative and	68
	post-washout film was performed.					
	dye and there was only 1	Others (not specified)				
	performed with only 250cc of	separation, Ant/Post.,				
	these 3 cases, cystograms	acetabular/sym				
	extraperitoneal rupture. In	Combined Prot.				
	present in 3 patients with	Symphysis separation n,				
	Normal cystograms were	Protrusio acetabulum,				
	laparotomy and 2 at autopsy.	(unilateral/bilateral),				
	diagnosis at immediate	Anterior and Posterior				
	not have cystograms with	(unilateral/bilateral),				
	rupture. Three patients did	Anterior				
	patients with extraperitoneal	Fractures included were:				
	Extravasation occurred in 13	was present. Pelvic Ring				
	cases and in 2 at autopsy.	macroscopic hematuria				
	immediate laparotomy in 4	whether microscopic or				
	was made at the time of	cystogram was performed				
	cystograms. The diagnosis	wounds). Retrograde				
	patients did not have	wounds and 2 knife				
	the bladder. The remaining 6	penetrating in 7 (3 gunshot				
mandatory.	with intraperitoneal rupture of	in 68 patients and			1973;13:205-12.	
making bladder distention with 400 cc of dye	was present in 17 patients	Etiology of injury was blunt			J Trauma	
penetrating wounds and extraperitoneal rupture,	Intraperitoneal extravasation	over an 11 year period.			Injured Patients.	
bladder injury. False negatives do occur with	with bladder contusion.	St. Paul-Ramsev Hospital			Fractures in Severely	
in the severely injured patient diagnosed	extravasation in 31 patients	rupture were admitted to	Ξ		Associated with Pelvic	0,
A system with a post-washout film performed	Cystoprams revealed po	73 patients with bladder	=	Case AC	Riaddar Trailma	53

		1.9%. No deaths or major complications attributable				
		negative scans occurred in				
		and bladder (50%). False				
	abdominal injury in general.	intestinal tract (41.6%),				
	urologic injury or intra-	for pancreas (0%),				
	predictors of either significant	sensitivities were lowest				
favor of CT scan.	performed poorly as	injury. Injury-specific				
nontherapeutic laparotomy leads to clinical	dipsticks and urinalysis	were positive for visceral			9.	
related risk and lower estimated rate of	accuracy was 97.6%. Urine	scans. Sixty two (24.2%)			Am Surg 1996;62:56-	
CT exceeds that of DPL but lower procedure.	Mas 00 5% and overall	200 patients (10tai =			Abdominal Initirias	
Yield for patients scanned with obtundation as	Sensitivity of with visceral	A retrospective review of	Ξ	Odekwu PO	The Use of Computed	78
The highest risk of urethral injury was associated with a straddle fracture when combined with diastasis of the SI joint (24 × more than the rest of the pelvic fractures). This is straddle fracture alone (3.85 ×) and Malgaigne's fracture (3.4 ×).	injury with a median time of 4 hours. Thirty nine patients (19%) had a urethral injury. Five patients (2.5%) had a bladder injury and 12 patients (6%) had combined urethral and bladder injuries. Urethral injuries included 13 stretching and 13 (25.5%) partial rupture and 13 (25%) and complete rupture in 25 (49%). Urethral injury was consistently associated with pubic arch fractures. The risk of urethral injury was increased when there is involvement of the anterior and posterior pelvic arch.	Prospective study of 203 consecutive male patients with pelvic fracture. Data included clinical examination, radiographic studies of the pelvis, excretory urography and retrograde urethrography.	=	Koraitim MM	Risk factors and mechanism of urethral injury in pelvic fractures. Br J Urol 1996;77:876- 80.	77
	appearance of the rim sign was 8 hours. Six cases without any rim sign were evaluated within 10 hours of	size of the infarction presence and appearance of the rim sign.				
demonstrated a rim sign on all cases performed 1 week after injury.	a rim sign, 8 or which had more than 1 CT. The earliest	reviewed to evaluate the			J Comp Asst I om 1996;20:803-6.	
expand and become apparent on CT scan. CT	Fourteen cases demonstrated	post injury focal or global			Infarction.	
after injury for the collateral circulation to	multiple CT examinations.	dynamic CT scan to have			Posttraumatic Renal	
In spite of the presence of renal collateral circulation it takes a minimum period of 8 hours	Twelve patients had a single	Retrospective review of 20	≡	Kamel IR	Assessment of the	76

MRI imaging can compliment the gold standard CT in patients with severe renal injury, preexisting renal abnormalities, equivocal CT findings or when repeat radiographic follow up is required. MRI could replace CT in patients with iodine allergy and be used for initial staging if CT is not available. if CT is not available.	MRI equaled CT in correctly grading the renal injury. MRI images were helpful in determining the extent the renal parenchymal lesion. Both methods were accurate in finding perirenal hematomas, assessing the viability of renal fragments and detecting preexisting renal abnormalities but failed to visualize urinary extravasation on initial examination.	A prospective study of 14 patients who underwent CT and high-field (1.0 T) MRI. MRI.	=	Leppaniemi ≯	Comparison of High- Field Magnetic Resonance Imaging with Computed Tomography in the Evaluation of Blunt Renal Trauma. J Trauma 1995;38:420-7.	<u>8</u>
None stated.	Macroscopic hematuria was present in 7/44 patients. A definitive diagnosis was made by voiding cystourethrography in 36/44 patients. Five were diagnosed at laparotomy which was performed for acute abdomen. Three were diagnosed by aspiration of urine ascites. All had cupola lacerations. Thirty six bladder injuries were intraperitoneal and 8 extraperitoneal. Serum urea and creatinine were not diagnostic.	Retrospective review of blunt urinary bladder patients diagnosed with blunt urinary bladder rupture. Patients without pelvic fracture, whose only intra-abdominal injury was bladder rupture formed the basis of this report.	=	Mokoena T	Diagnostic difficulties in patients with a ruptured bladder. Br J Surg 1995;82:69- 70. 70.	08
Microscopic hematuria in a hemodynamically stable patient does not require radiographic imaging of the GU system in adults. In contrast, all children should have radiographic evaluation after blunt renal trauma.	Thirteen of 14 patients with major renal trauma had macroscopic hematuria. Three of the 13 patients were in shock and required an acute operation for stabilization. One major renal lesion was diagnosed by acute IVP before urinalysis.	Retrospective review of 114 patients during a ten year period.	Ξ	Moller CM	The Role of Haematuria in the Diagnosis of Blunt Renal Trauma. Scan J Urol & Nephro 1995;172;99-101.	79

82	Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture. Acad Radiol 2000;7:317-24.	Pao DM	≡	Retrospective review of 108 patients with blunt pelvic trauma, 10 of whom had bladder ruptures, who underwent both standard abdominal/pelvic CT and conventional cystography	10/10 bladder ruptures were identified by conventional cystography. Extravasation of bladder contrast was noted in only 4/10 patients on abdominal/pelvic CT. 9/10 patients with bladder rupture had extraperitoneal pelvic fluid on abdominal/pelvic CT.	The absence of pelvic fluid on abdominal/pelvic CT indicates that bladder rupture is unlikely. Bladder injury may be present despite absence of contrast material extravasation of abdominal/pelvic CT
83	Severe blunt renal trauma: a 7-year retrospective review from a provincial trauma center.	Baverstock R	Ξ	Retrospective review of 227 patients with renal injuries, blunt 93.4%, penetrating 6.6%	Of Grade III, IV, or V injuries, 80% had gross hematuria and 80% had associated trauma. Management was conservative in 87.5% of	Blunt renal trauma managed conservatively is associated with few intrahospital complications in the hemodynamically stable patients. Grade V injuries result in a nephrectomy rate of 90.9% due to hemodynamic instability
	trauma center. Can J Urol 2001;8:1372-6.				conservative in 87.5% of Grade III and 77.7% of Grade IV; 90.9% of Grade V injuries underwent immediate surgery. No outpatient follow- up.	due to hemodynamic instability
84	The role of interventional radiology in the management of blunt	Hagiware A	≡	Retrospective review of 28 patients with blunt renal artery injury	The incidence of diagnosis of blunt renal artery injury was 0.08% which increased over time	At this institution the incidence of blunt renal artery injury diagnosis is increasing which is attributed to the increased use of CT scan.
	J Trauma 2001;51:526-31.					
85	Blunt Renal Artery Injury: Incidence, Diagnosis, and Management	Bruce LM	≡	Retrospective review of 28 patients with blunt renal artery injury	Most renal artery injuries were diagnosed by CT scans (93%) with seven confirmatory anciograms	The frequency of diagnosis of blunt renal artery injury is increasing at this institution
	Management. Am Surg 2001;67:550- 6.				contirmatory angiograms.	

86	Is there a role of magnetic resonance imaging in renal trauma? Int J Urol 2001;8:261- 7. 7.	Ku JH	=	Prospective study of 12 patients with blunt renal trauma who underwent contrast-enhanced CT followed by MRI followed by MRI	There was no difference on staging of renal injury between Ct and MRI. MRI could delineate intrarenal hematoma from perirenal hematoma and between hematoma and renal parenchyma more accurately than CT. Both CT and MRI were accurate in diagnosing renal infarction in 3 patients.	The presence and size of perirenal hematoma could be detected by both CT and MRI, and both techniques accurately graded renal injury. MRI was able to determine recent bleeding in hematomas, differentiated intrarenal from perirenal hematoma. MRI should be limited to carefully selected patients, such as those with severe renal injury or equivocal findings on CT, as it requires longer imaging time and increases the cost.
87	Gunshot wounds to the ureter: a 40-year experience at Grady Memorial Hospital. J Urol 2001;166:119- 21.	Perez- Brayfield MRI	III	Retrospective review of 118 patients with GSW to the ureter	33% false negative IVP	Preoperative testing is of poor reliability
88	Computerized tomography cystography for the diagnosis of traumatic bladder rupture. J Urol 2000;164:43-6.	Deck AJ	E	Retrospective review of 316 blunt trauma patients who underwent CT cystography cystography	CT cystography detected bladder rupture with a sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 100%. CT cystography detected intraperitoneal bladder rupture with a sensitivity of 78% and specificity of 99%	CT cystography rather than plain film cystography should be performed in patients who are already undergoing CT for other injuries
89	Bladder rupture after blunt trauma: guidelines for diagnostic imaging. J Trauma 2001;51:683-6.	Morey AF	Ξ	Retrospective review of 53 patient with blunt bladder rupture from four institutions	100% had gross hematuria and 85% had pelvic fracture	Pelvic fracture with gross hematuria is an indication for cystography in blunt trauma patients

Patients with post-traumatic microscopic hematuria alone do not require radiographic imaging. Radiographic imaging is indicated in patients with post-traumatic gross hematuria.	Significantly abnormal IVP was found in 6/7 patients with gross hematuria. No patient of 43 with microscopic hematuria had a clinically significant abnormality.	Retrospective review of 50 consecutive patients undergoing IVP for blunt trauma.	≡	Kisa E	Indications for emergency intravenous pyelography (IVP) in blunt abdominal trauma: a reappraisal. J Trauma 1986;26:1086-9.	104
Drainage films and adequate distension of the bladder with contrast medium increases the sensitivity of cystography in the detection of bladder injuries.	Extraperitoneal rupture was noted in 32, intraperitoneal rupture in 13, combined intraperitoneal & extraperitoneal rupture in 6. 30/31 with blunt trauma had pelvic fractures. Associated organ injuries were found in 62% with penetrating and 93% with nonpenetrating injuries.	Retrospective review of 51 patients with bladder trauma; 32 blunt, 13 penetrating, 1 spontaneous & 5 iatrogenic injuries.	≡	Caroll PR	Major bladder trauma: mechanisms of injury and a unified method of diagnosis and repair. J Urol 1984;132:154-7. J Urol 1984;132:154-7.	103
Drainage films and adequate distension of the bladder with contrast medium increases the sensitivity of cystography in the detection of bladder injuries.	Extravasation was noted in all 32 cases for which retrograde cystograms were available. Of 32 cystograms found, 4 showed rupture on the drainage film only.	Retrospective review of 51 patients with blunt bladder rupture	≡	Carroll PR	Major bladder trauma: the accuracy of cystography. J Urol 1983;130:887-8.	102
Cystography is more accurate in the diagnosis of bladder injuries than IVP.	44 patients had extraperitoneal bladder rupture; 35 had intraperitoneal rupture; 2 had insterstitial bladder injury; 5 had combined intraperitoneal & extraperitoneal bladder rupture. Of 61 patients with film studies available, 2 with intraperitoneal rupture had false-negative cystograms. In 2 other cases, the diagnosis was missed with urography but demonstrated on cystography.	Retrospective study of 97 patients with bladder injury secondary to blunt trauma	≡	Sandler CM	Bladder injury in blunt pelvic trauma. Radiology 1986;158:633-8. 1986	101

111	110
Renovascular trauma: risk assessment, surgical management, and outcome. J Trauma 1990;30:547-54. 1990;30:547-54.	Computerized tomography cystography for Computed tomographic evaluation of blunt renal injuries. Radiology 1981;141:461-6.
Carroll PR	Sandler CM
≡	Ξ
Retrospective review of 36 patients (23 penetrating and 13 blunt) with 37 renovascular injuries	Retrospective review of 10 patients with blunt renal injuries
The renal artery alone was injured in 9 kidneys, the renal vein alone in 12, and both renal artery and vein in 6. Segmental vessel injuries alone were found in 10 kidneys. Gross hematuria was present in 16 patients, microscopic hematuria in 10, no hematuria in 7, and unrecorded in 3. Only 4 patients had isolated renal injuries. IVP was performed in 11, angio in 5, and CT in 6. IVP demonstrated unilateral nonfunction in 7 patients and urinary extravasation in 1. Nonspecific findings (delayed opacification) were noted in 3. Angiography accurately identified renovascular injury in all 5 cases in which it was performed. CT showed the presence of vascular injury in the 6 patients in whom it was performed.	CT demonstrated the renal injury in 9/10 patients.
Although hematuria is usually present, it may be absent despite renovascular trauma. Renal nonfuction is not specific for renovascular injury. CT may accurately stage renal pedicle injuries without angiography. without angiography.	CT is valuable in providing definition of urologic injuries and in avoiding angiography.

None stated.	Gross hematuria was present in 6 patients and microscopic hematuria found in 5. IVP was abnormal in 4/5 patients with renal artery injury and 1/1 patients with renal vein injury. Arteriogram was abnormal in 5/5 patients with renal artery injury.	Retrospective review of 17 patients (6 blunt, 9 penetrating) with renal vascular injuries	≡	Meacham PW	Renal vascular injuries. Am Surg 1986;52:30- 6. 6.	114
Hematuria, both macroscopic and microscopic, was absent in 40% of the renal pedicle injuries, requiring a high index of suspicion based on mechanism of injury.	Gross hematuria was found in 13, microscopic hematuria in 5, no hematuria in 12, and unknown in 1. A nonfunctioning kidney was found in 18/21 patients who underwent IVP. Of the remaining 5 patients, 2 had extravasation and 3 had incomplete filling, requiring arteriography in 1 and laparotomy in 2 for the diagnosis. 8 patients did not undergo IVP and the diagnosis was made at laparotomy in 2.	Retrospective review of 31 patients (25 blunt, 6 penetrating) with renal pedicle injuries	≡	Cass AS	Renal pedicle injury in the multiple injured patient. J Trauma 1985;25:892-6. 1985;25:892-6.	113
Angiography may allow triage of patients with renal trauma into nonoperatively and operatively managed groups.	Arteriograms were performed in 176 patients; the remaining patients were clinically unstable. The decision to manage surgically vs nonoperatively was based on angiogram. 113/139 patients with blunt trauma were managed nonoperatively; 25/51 patients with penetrating renal trauma were managed nonoperatively. Complications developed in 3% of patients managed nonoperatively.	Retrospective review of 190 patients with renal trauma (51 penetrating, 139 blunt). 139 blunt).	≡	Lang EK	Arteriography in the assessment of renal trauma. J Trauma 1975;15:553-66.	112

55 © 2003 Eastern Association For The Surgery of Trau	
ma	

119	118	117	116	115
The CT appearance of renal pedicle injury. J Urol 1984;132:1163- 4.	CT diagnosis of renal pedicle injury. Urol Radiol 1985;7:63- 8. 8.	Renal trauma: reevaluation of indications for radiographic assessment. J Urol 1985;133:183-7. J Urol 1985;133:183-7.	Accuracy of computed tomography in diagnosing renal artery injuries. Urology 1989;34:249- 51.	Traumatic renal artery occlusion: a 15-year review. J Trauma 1998;45:557-61.
Steinberg DL	Sclafani SJ	Nicolaisen GS	Cass AS	Haas CA
≡	Ξ	=	≡	≡
Retrospective review of 2 patients with traumatic renal artery occlusion, with an additional review of 60 cases of renal trauma without renal artery injury.	Retrospective review of 6 patients with renal vascular injury.	Prospective study of 369 consecutive patients with renal trauma (306 blunt, 53 penetrating) 53 penetrating	Retrospective study of 7 patients with renal artery injury.	Retrospective review of 12 patients with blunt renal artery injury.
CT demonstrated nonfunctioning, normal-sized kidney with minimal or no contrast enhancement in both patients.	The most specific CT signs of renal vascular injury included nonexcretion, "rim" enhancement, and abrupt termination of an enhanced renal artery. Nonspecific CT signs included central retroperitoneal hematoma associated with limited perinephric hematoma.	In patients with penetrating injuries, neither hematuria, shock, or associated injuries could predict the presence/extent of renal trauma. Patients with microscopic hematuria without shock did not require operation and therefore should not be worked up urographically. Only patients with penetrating injuries or blunt injury with gross hematuria or blunt injury with microscopic hematuria should undergo evaluation.	All 7 patients were diagnosed successfully by CT demonstration of lack of opacification or lack of enhancement of kidney	7/8 patients had hematuria, results unknown for 4 patients. CT established the diagnosis in 9/9 patients who underwent this test.
These CT findings appear to be specific for occlusion of the renal pedicle	CT allowed for differentiation of causes of absent or poor urographic nephrogram after trauma and may obviate the need for time- consuming angiography. CT should replace IVP for the evaluation of polytrauma. IVP for the evaluation of polytrauma.	Blunt trauma patients with microscopic hematuria without shock should not undergo urographic evaluation. This should be reserved for patients with penetrating injuries or blunt trauma patients with either gross hematuria +/- shock or microscopic hematuria + shock. shock or microscopic hematuria + shock.	CT is accurate in the diagnosis of renal artery injury.	Diagnosis requires a high index of suspicion. Hematuria was absent in 12.5% of patients.